UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

	No.	98-2484	
In Re: DANA R. KIDD,			Debtor.
DANA R. KIDD,			Debtor - Appellant,
versus			
ROBERT G. MAYER, Trustee,			Trustee - Appellee.
-	No.	98-2727	
In Re: VINCENT LITTLES, M	ICHEL	LE D. LITT	LES, Debtors.
VINCENT LITTLES; MICHELLE	D. L.	ITTLES,	Plaintiffs - Appellants,

versus

ROBERT G. MAYER, Trustee,

Trustee - Appellee.

Appeals from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, at Alexandria. Gerald Bruce Lee, District Judge; Albert V. Bryan, Jr., Senior District Judge. (CA-98-1006-A, BK-98-12007-SSM, CA-98-1032-A, BK-98-12006-SSM)

Submitted: March 31, 2000 Decided: May 12, 2000

Before WIDENER, LUTTIG, and MICHAEL, Circuit Judges.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

William Gething Dade, WILLIAM G. DADE, P.C., Fredericksburg, Virginia, for Appellants. Robert G. Mayer, ROBERT G. MAYER, P.C., Fairfax, Virginia, for Appellee.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit. See Local Rule 36(c).

PER CURIAM:

In these consolidated appeals, Dana Kidd and Vincent and Michelle Littles appeal from the district courts' orders affirming the bankruptcy court's orders disallowing their homestead exemptions because their homestead deeds were not timely filed under Va. Ann. Code § 34-17 (Michie 1999). Our review of the records and the opinions below discloses no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm on the reasoning of the district courts. See Kidd v. Mayer, No. 98-1006-A (E.D. Va. Oct 2, 1998), No. 98-2424, Littles v. Mayer, No. 98-1032-A (E.D. Va. Oct. 28, 1998), No. 98-2727.* We dispense with oral argument based on our prior order granting the motion to submit the cases on briefs.

<u>AFFIRMED</u>

^{*} Although the district court's order in No. 98-2727 is marked as "filed" on October 26, 1998, the district court's record shows that it was entered on the docket sheet on October 28, 1998. Pursuant to Rules 58 and 79(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, it is the date that the judgment or order was entered on the docket sheet that we take as the effective date of the district court's decision. See Wilson v. Murray, 806 F.2d 1232, 1234-35 (4th Cir. 1986).